

## Econometric analysis of experimental data

### Outline

---

## Description and objectives

The aim of this course is to introduce students to the econometric analysis of experimental data. The course will focus on methods and models which can be easily estimated in STATA. Programming skills are not required. Code and data sets will be provided as well as practice exercises. The course is structured in four parts covering issues related to the relationship between testing behavioral hypotheses, experimental design, and statistical power.

- **Part 1 : Parametric and nonparametric tests:** This part will cover common parametric tests, nonparametric rank tests (Wilcoxon, Mann-Whitney, Kolmogorov-Smirnov), as well as the potential benefits of using quantile regression to test for the presence of treatment effects.
- **Part 2: Data dependence and clustering :** This part will discuss experimental data structures where correlation across observations is present. Topics covered will include cluster robust and cluster specific effects. We will also discuss designing experiments with the purpose of meeting requirements for proper inference, as well as approaches to explain choice dependence in non-linear models.
- **Part 3: Bootstrap methods :** This part will present bootstrap methods (parametric and nonparametric) for inference in economic experiments. Topics will include estimator variance approximation, statistical testing with asymptotic refinement, and bias reduction.
- **Part 4: Power computation and design of experiments :** This part will present issues related to the design of economic experiments and statistical power. Topics will include computing power using large sample theory and power computations using simulation based methods. We will also discuss possible sign errors to emphasize that little if anything can be learned from underpowered experiments.

## Course material

We will cover parts of Cameron and Trivedi's (2009) : *Microeconometrics : Methods and Applications* dealing with regression analysis pertinent to the course. A series of papers will be discussed in each part of the course. Some papers will illustrate methods, others applications. A selected subset of the papers covered is presented below. A web site with teaching material and STATA codes will be activated a few days before the course.

## References

- BELLEMARE, C., P. LEPAGE, AND B. SHEARER (2010): "Peer pressure, incentives, and gender: An experimental analysis of motivation in the workplace," *Labour Economics*, 17(1), 276–283.
- BERTRAND, M., E. DUFLO, AND S. MULLAINATHAN (2004): "How much should we trust differences-in-differences estimates?," *The Quarterly journal of economics*, 119(1), 249–275.
- BITLER, M. P., J. B. GELBACH, AND H. W. HOYNES (2006): "What mean impacts miss: Distributional effects of welfare reform experiments," *American Economic Review*, 96(4), 988–1012.

- BUTTON, K. S., J. P. IOANNIDIS, C. MOKRYSZ, B. A. NOSEK, J. FLINT, E. S. ROBINSON, AND M. R. MUNAFÒ (2013): “Power failure: why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience,” *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 14(5), 365.
- CAMERER, C. F., A. DREBER, E. FORSELL, T.-H. HO, J. HUBER, M. JOHANNESSON, M. KIRCHLER, J. ALMENBERG, A. ALTMEJD, T. CHAN, ET AL. (2016): “Evaluating replicability of laboratory experiments in economics,” *Science*, 351(6280), 1433–1436.
- CAMERON, A. C., J. B. GELBACH, AND D. L. MILLER (2008): “Bootstrap-based improvements for inference with clustered errors,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 90(3), 414–427.
- GELMAN, A., AND J. CARLIN (2014): “Beyond power calculations: assessing type S (sign) and type M (magnitude) errors,” *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 9(6), 641–651.
- GREEN, D. P., AND L. VAVRECK (2007): “Analysis of cluster-randomized experiments: A comparison of alternative estimation approaches,” *Political Analysis*, 16(2), 138–152.
- HALLAHAN, M., AND R. ROSENTHAL (1996): “Statistical power: Concepts, procedures, and applications,” *Behaviour research and therapy*, 34(5-6), 489–499.
- LIST, J. A., S. SADOFF, AND M. WAGNER (2011): “So you want to run an experiment, now what? Some simple rules of thumb for optimal experimental design,” *Experimental Economics*, 14(4), 439.
- MANSKI, C. F. (1993): “Identification of endogenous social effects: The reflection problem,” *The review of economic studies*, 60(3), 531–542.
- MOULTON, B. R. (1990): “An illustration of a pitfall in estimating the effects of aggregate variables on micro units,” *The review of Economics and Statistics*, pp. 334–338.